Oregon State University

Faculty Senate

Faculty Senate » Minutes » 1957 Minutes

Please note that some links go to websites not managed by the Faculty Senate. As such, some links may no longer be functional or may lead to pages that have since been changed or updated.

1957 Minutes

Minutes for Faculty Senate meetings can be accessed by clicking on the desired date. Minutes are distributed to Senators for approval each month. Contact the Faculty Senate Office at or 541-737-4344 for more information.

- January 10
- February 14
- February 18
- March 14
- <u>April 11</u>
- May 9

| Home | Agendas | Bylaws | Committees | Elections | Faculty Forum Papers | Handbook | Meetings | Membership/Attendance | Minutes |

Faculty Senate, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-6203 · 541.737.4344 Contact us with your comments, questions and feedback Copyright © 2008 Oregon State University | Disclaimer Valid xhtml.

Meeting 120

10 January 1957

ROLL CALL

The Faculty Senate met in regular session at 4:00 p.m. in Memorial Union 208 with Fresident Strand in the chair. Members present were: Anderson, Baron, Beck, Bergstrom, Calvin, Carlin, Cheldelin, Colby, Cooney (for Price), Crooks, Edaburn, Foreman, Foster, Gibson, Goode, Gray, Groshong, Heston, Knapp, Kraft, Lemon, Li, McCulloch, Mackey, Milligan, Moor, Munford, Norton, Ordeman, O'Leary, Orner, Plambeck, Reichart, Ritcher, Schaloch, Scholl, Schultz, Sciuchetti, Sinnard, Smith, Strand, Strickler, Trigg, Wicks, Winger, Young, Youngberg, Zeran. Also present were Professors Ralph Bogart, D. R. Hunt, C. E. Samuels, and Betty Lynd Thompson.

Absent were: Albert, Boyd, Carlson, Crossen, Gilfillan, Gleeson, Hansen, Keene, Langton, McClellan, Maser, Miller, Phinney, Poling, Robertson, Slabaugh, Williamson.

FUNCTIONS OF FACULTY SENATE

President Strand introduced the newly elected members of the Faculty Senate. He mentioned also the continuing members of the various schools. He then gave a brief description of the functions of the Faculty Senate and some background on the needs and purposes that the Faculty Council was established to serve. He said it had become apparent that the institution needed a "platform" where staff members, especially younger staff members, would have opportunity to express their ideas. A large special committee with Dr. C. V. Langton as able chairman formulated a plan for a Faculty Council which was adopted and became effective March 1945. President Strand referred to developments within the Faculty Council, especially the formation of a number of committees, an example being the Committee on Faculty Welfare which he said had been of great service to the institution and his office on various occasions. He referred to recent clarification of the functions of the Faculty Council and the new Bylaws revisions just beginning to be operative. He spoke of the problem of overlapping functions of the Faculty Senate and the Administrative Council, expressing the belief that the good sense and cooperative spirit of staff members and administrators would tend to keep the two bodies functioning effectively. He called attention to the fact that all members of the Administrative Council were members of the Faculty Senate. He said the ultimate authority, of course, for Oregon State College was the State Board of Higher Education as the governing body under state law, but the policy of the State Board was to give large functions to the faculties of the several units of the State System of Higher Education.

NAMING OF SECRETARY As an item of business President Strand referred to the provision in the revised Bylaws that the President shall name the Secretary of the Faculty Senate. He announced that he was naming Mr. Goode to continue as Secretary.

FACULTY FORUM RESOLUTION

Dr. Schultz reported on a meeting of the Faculty Forum on December 6, 1956. He said the discussion topic was "Faculty in College Government" led by a panel under Dr. W. A. Frazier, Past Vice Chairman of the Faculty Council and including Professors R. Bogart, J. C. R. Li, and J. A. Pfanner. The Faculty Forum unanimously adopted the following resolution: All committees which implement the objectives of the Faculty Senate should be made committees of the Faculty Senate. Dr. Schultz suggested that this resolution be referred to the Committee on Committees. No objection to the suggestion was expressed, and Dr. Schultz said he would transmit it to the Committee on Committees, of which he was a member.

APPORTIONMENT FOR FACULTY SENATE

President Strand then called on Dr. Schultz to present the recommendations of the Executive Committee on apportionment of elected members among schools and divisions. Dr. Schultz sketched some REPRESENTATION background facts and quoted the revised Bylaws on apportionment. He said the Executive Committee had named a subcommittee to study t the problem and formulate recommendations. As a result the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate had adopted a set of recommendations to present to the Faculty Senate which he had distributed in ditto form and then read to the Senate.

> President Strand invited discussion and comment. Dean Colby called attention to an error in respect to the Lower Division quota, and Dr. Schultz said it would be corrected, giving 9 instead of 8 for Lower Division. He said the correction would affect the Agriculture quota also, because on the ratio that was used Agriculture would be entitled to an additional elected member but under the proposed restriction that a school with the highest number of elected members would not be allowed more than twice the number of the next highest. Agriculture had been cut from 17 to 16; with Lower Division at 9 instead of 8 Agriculture would have 17 instead of 16. President Strand asked the pleasure of the Faculty Senate in regard to the recommendations. Some questions were asked by Dr. Strickler and Dr. Trigg which were discussed by Dr. Bogart, chairman of the Bylaws Committee, Dr. Schultz, Professor Beck, and Assistant Dean Cooney. It was brought out that the Bylaws Committee and the Agriculture divisions had carefully canvassed the sentiment of Experiment Station and Extension personnel regarding membership in the Faculty Senate and found that a willingness to serve existed as well as a conviction that enlarged representation would provide a desirable two-way linking between the Faculty Senate and the state which Oregon State College exists to serve. During the discussion Dean McCulloch moved that the recommendations be adopted with correction of the current quotas for Lower Division and Agriculture. The motion was seconded. Dr. Anderson, Vice Chairman of the Faculty Senate, spoke in favor of the motion. President Strand emphasized that the continued task of the Bylaws Committee as provided in the recommendations should include fixing a definite date applicable in determining the "number of voters" for the several schools. Dr. Munford said the data used in compiling the faculty roster for the Catalog might be useful. Question was called for, vote was taken by show of hands, and the motion was declared carried, thus adopting the following corrected recommendations:

APPORTIONMENT FOR FACULTY SENATE REPRESENTATION (continued)

- "A. In accordance with the above, the Executive Committee makes the following recommendations relative to the 1957 representation on the Faculty Senate:
- . The apportionment shall be on the basis of "Number of Voters" in each School or Divsion.
- The proportion of elected representatives to voters in each School or Division shall be one representative for each 15 elegible voters or portion thereof, with the following stipulations or exceptions:
 - a. The School or Divsion having the greatest number of elected representatives shall not have more than twice the number that the next highest has.
 - b. The School of Engineering shall continue to have 6 representatives even though the proportion of 1 to 15 would entitle it to have only 5 representatives. (The present trend would indicate that this school might soon become entitled to 6 representatives by the proportion.)
- The number of elected representatives from each School or Division shall be as shown in the 1957 column below:

	No. of	Represe	ntatives
	Voters	1956	195 7
Agriculture Business & Technology Education Engineering Forestry Home Economics Lower Division Pharmacy Physical Education Science Library Total Elected Members	250 22 21 67 21 38 119 8 44 102 23	5 2 2 6 1 3 8 1 3 7 1 39	17 2 2 6 2 3 9 1 3 7 2 54

- B. Further, the Executive Committee recommends the following:
 - 1 That the present Bylaws Committee of the Faculty Senate be continued as a Standing Committee.
 - 2 That the Bylaws Committee consider the matter of representation of the Defense Education staff on the Faculty Senate.
 - 3 That the basis for determination of the Number of Voters and the basis for apportionment of representation on the Faculty Senate be incorporated in the Bylaws after recommendation of the Bylaws Committee."

ADMISSIONS POLICY

After a brief statement on the current importance of admissions policy, President Strand called on Dr. Ordeman who reported on meetings of a special State System committee on possible admissions policy. The Committee had been working intermittently since November 1955. Current practice, he said was to admit Oregon Residents coming direct from high school, nonresidents direct from high school if in upper half of their class in achievement grades or ability tests, transfers with records of 2.00 or above. It was recognized, he said, that admissions policy must take into account the rapidly increasing enrollments and previous admissions experience. The committee recognized the need for a common policy among the institutions in the State System to prevent competition, to prevent discrimination, and for simplicity of understanding by high school students and advisers. Difficulties in agreeing to a common policy were: varying attitudes of the institutions, different purposes of the institutions as seen by themselves and by others, and the varied personalities in the Committee. By March 30, 1956, the Committee had under discussion such questions as: effectiveness of different admissions plans, desirability of a basic admissions plan throughout the State System, an alternate plan for admitting students who could not meet the basic plan, the problem of devising a plan so objective that all admissions officers would arrive independently at the same decision, the establishment of a single admissions office for the entire State System with reference to admitting Oregon residents, the desirability of a simple plan easily understood. Methods of possible enrollment restriction were listed as follows: (1) high school achievement -- grades only, grades and activities, class standing, grades in "solids" grades in English, recommendations of principals; (2) college aptitude tests like A.C.E, given in senior year in high school, in senior year in centers, at college spring or summer, in high school junior year; (3) combination of achievement and aptitude.

The conclusions of the committee were as follows: (1) The opportunity to enter any of the institutions in the State System should be available to all graduates of Oregon high schools. (2) If it should develop that the institutions in the State System are unable to care for the humber of Oregon high school graduates who desire to attend these institutions, it is proposed that enrollment of freshmen from Oregon high schools be limited to those applicants who probably will succeed in college. (3) All institutions in the State System should have the same basic admission requirements. (4) Institutions should be permitted to establish additional requirements for admission to their various major fields. It appeared probable that, if the institutions, because of inadequate facilities for increasing enrollments, should be forced to set up admissions restrictions, the requirements that would be adopted would be: a high school average of 2.00, or placement in upper 60% on a test of college level, or successful completion of a full summer session of college work.

Meeting 120 - 5

President Strand invited questions or comments on Dr. Ordeman's report. It appeared impracticable to complete the remaining agenda items, and it was agreed to postpone the reports by Mr. Norton and Dean Colby. Dean Colby said he would mail to all Senate members copies of his report to permit review before the next meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was declared adjourned at 5:12 o'clock.

Meeting 121

14 February 1957

WELCOME TO NEW MEMBERS & ROLL CALL

President Strand called the Faculty Senate to order in regular session at 4:00 p.m. He first introduced and welcomed the new members who had been elected under recently adopted quotas. Members present were: Albert, Anderson, Apple, Baron, Beck, Becker, Bergstrom, Bernier, Boyd, Calvin, Carlin, Carlson, Cheldelin, Colby, Crooks, Crossen, Ebert, Foote, Foreman, Foster, Gibson, Gilfillan, Goode, Gray, Forbes (for Heston), Jackson, Kirk, Kraft, Langan, Lemon, Li, McCulloch, McKimmy, Stockman (for Mackey), Milligan, Moor, Munford, Norton, Johnson (for Oldfield), Ordeman, O'Leary, Orner, Phinney, Plambeck, Poling, Cooney (for Price), Reichart, Roberts, Robertson, Rodgers, Schalodh, Scheel, Scholl, Schultz, Peterson (for Sciuchetti), Sinnard, Slabaugh, Smith, Strand, Strickler, Trigg, Weswig, Wicks, Williamson, Winger, Vaughan (for Young), Youngberg, Zeran. Also present were Professors Hillemann, Wilkinson, Dilworth, Petzel, Smith C.K.

Members absent were: Edaburn, Gleeson, Groshong, Hansen, Keene, Knapp, Langton, McClellan, Maser, Miller, Ritcher.

APPROVAL
OF MINUTES

Consideration of the minutes of the January 10, 1957 meeting was called for. The minutes were declared approved.

LOWER DIVISION REPORT President Strand referred to the report that had been sent to Faculty Senate members following the January meeting and suggested that a time be set for discussing it. After some discussion, Dr. Foreman moved that the Faculty Senate hold a special meeting at 4:00 p.m., Monday, February 18 to consider the Lower Division report. The motion was seconded and carried.

NORTON STUDY OF THE CLASS OF 1956

Coordinator Dallas Norton reported highlights of a study which he had made of the Class of 1956 after they had received their degrees. The study was reported also in a recent issue of the Oregon Stater (December 1956). A questionnaire was sent to 387 graduates who had entered together and qualified for their degrees in four years. The answers were unsigned. He received an 80% return. After distributing a three-page mimeographed surmary he presented such facts as the following: graduates commended the friendliness of Oregon State College, the beauty of the campus, and the values they found in their campus activities. Many statements were quoted of appreciation of the quality and sincere attitude of the faculty and their interest in their students. Both favorable and unfavorable comments were made on administration; there was a feeling that students are treated too much like children. The advising of students in Engineering, Forestry, and Home Economics was commended, but in general the advising of freshmen received unfavorable comment.

CURRICULUM COUNCIL

Dr. W. D. Wilkinson, chairman, reported on the work of the Curriculum Council. He spoke both of the broad functions of the Council in the development of the educational program of the institution and of its review of all proposed curricular changes. He distributed a two-page statement which he read and supplemented by brief comments. He emphasized the fact that the appointed members of the Curriculum Council represented the institution as a whole while the deans of schools or divisions of instruction were members ex officiis, each representing his particular school. He said the new July 1 deadline for submitting proposed curricular changes had permitted a much longer time last fall for the Curriculum Council to work. It held thirty-six meetings of one hour or two hour duration and considered 240 proposals. Curricular proposals that come before the Curriculum Council fall under five headings: new courses; courses dropped; new major options, major curricula, or degrees; changes in old courses; and miscellaneous. He emphasized that all proposals are assembled in one binding and a copy supplied to all deans of schools, thus permitting each dean representing his school to scrutinize all proposals; the Curriculum Council seeks to keep each dean informed of action taken, subject always to further consideration with the dean present at his request; all proposals affecting graduate work are subject to approval of the Graduate Council. He summarized the particular functions assigned the Curriculum Council as follows:

- 1 To study curricula and courses from the standpoint of the educational needs to be served.
- 2 To maintain instructional standards through careful attention to coordination of instruction.
- 3 To study existing and proposed curricula and courses in respect to interrelationships, appropriateness and desirability, content, description, nomenclature, credit hours, and time allotment.
- 4 To review all proposals for modification of the curricula of the institution.
- 5 To submit annual and special reports with recommendations covering all proposed curricular and course changes and additions, such recommendations being subject to approval of the Faculty Senate.

Dr. Wilkinson said that demand was urgent for attention to matters of long-range development and policy in the educational program. To this end the Curriculum Council had adopted a plan of regular year-round monthly meetings, supplemented by additional meetings as required.

FACULTY FORUM

Dr. C. L. Anderson, Vice Chairman of the Faculty Senate, reported on the February meeting of the Faculty Forum which had discussed questions on the preparation of incoming students, scholastic difficulties of freshmen, neglect of the best students, and why students who succeed in college do succeed. The Forum, he said, listed certain essential abilities, such as to read, to study, to communicate. A resolution was adopted for submission to Faculty

Meeting 121 - 3

Senate. The next meeting would discuss grades and grading. President Strand remarked that his impression was that the Faculty Forum was operating effectively and successfully.

HONORARY DEGREES

President Strand reported on proposals that had been made for certain honorary degrees. Question had arisen whether the Faculty Senate under its self-imposed quota would be able to propose further degrees within the current five-year period. He indicated that if any consideration of honorary degrees were to be given in the present year, it would need to be immediately.

KASETSART UNIVERSITY

President Strand reported that the current emphasis in the program with Kasetsart University was on fields that are basic to agriculture. A new contract was about to be negotiated. A proposal had been made to introduce a program of agricultural extension. If this were done, he said, it would need to be in addition to the present work rather than in place of it.

FACULTY SENATE MEETINGS

President Strand on behalf of the Executive Committee brought up the question of more time for discussions in the meetings of the Faculty Senate. Would the Senate, he asked, wish to meet at 3:00 instead of 4:00 o'clock? By a show of hands it was found that a considerable number of Senate members had 3:00 o'clock classes. Dean Colby asked how twice a month would suit the Senate. Show of hands made clear that about equal numbers favored one-hour meetings twice a month and two-hour meetings once a month. Suggestion was made that meetings last to 6:00. It was moved (Trigg) that the Faculty Senate hereafter hold meetings at 4:00 o'clock on the second and fourth Thursdays of each month. The motion was seconded and put to vote but was declared lost.

ADJOURNMENT The meeting was adjourned at 5:15 o'clock.

Meeting 122

18 February 1957

ROLL CALL

The Faculty Council met in special session at 4:00 p.m. in Memorial Union 208 with President Strand in the chair and the following members present: Anderson, Apple, Korzan (for Becker), Carlin, Colby, Crooks, Edaburn, Foreman, Gilfillan, Gleeson, Goode, Groshong, Hansen, Jackson, Kirk, Knapp, Langan, McKimmy, Mackey, Milligan, Moor, Nunford, O'Leary, Ordeman, Plambeck, Cooney (for Price), Reichart, Ritcher, Roberts, Rodgers, Schaloch, Scheel, Scholl, Strand, Trigg, Wicks, Zeran. Also present were Professors Beer, Berkeley, Cortright, Fincke, Friday, Garrison, Hovland, Huff, Mitchell E.R., Nelson H.B., Plonk, Read, Sites, Smith C.K., Smith E.D., Stockman, Ten Pas, Wilkinson.

Members absent were: Albert, Baron, Beck, Bergstrom, Bernier, Boyd, Calvin, Carlson, Cheldelin, Crossen, Ebert, Foote, Foster, Gibson, Gray, Heston, Keene, Kraft, Langton, Lemon, Li, McClellan, McCulloch, Maser, Miller, Norton, Oldfield, Orner, Phinney, Poling, Robertson, Schultz, Sciuchetti, Sinnard, Slabaugh, Smith W.W., Strickler, Weswig, Williamson, Winger, Young, Youngberg.

PURPOSE OF MEETING President Strand stated the purpose of the meeting was to hear and consider "A Program for Additional Liberal Arts Degrees." The report had been mailed to Faculty Senate members immediately following the January 10, 1957 meeting of the Faculty Senate. Dean Colby said the report had been prepared and was submitted in response to action of the Faculty Council January 20, 1956, when a resolution was adopted strongly urging the administration, together with the faculty, "to take all possible action to secure liberal arts degrees for Oregon State College in the very near future." Dean Colby said that members of the Lower Division committee on academic policy and members of the Curriculum Council had been invited to the meeting to hear the report. As the report was already in the hands of the members of the Faculty Senate, he did not read the report but spoke in general terms of its background and content. Questions were then invited.

DISCUSSION
OF LOWER
DIVISION
REPORT ON
LIBERAL
ARTS
DEGREES

The report was examined through discussion on such topics as the effect on teacher training programs, desirability of adding a science requirement, facilities aspects, the relationships of a communications program, the timeliness of area or divisional majors as contrasted with departmental, the lack of a proposal for graduate work, possible correlation with the existing M. A. (General Studies) degree. Aside from questions asked, the discussion in general was commendatory and favorable to the

Meeting 122 - 2

proposed liberal arts degrees.

REPORT ACCEPTED Dr. Foreman moved that the Faculty Senate vote approval of the report, subject to consideration by the Curriculum Council. The motion was seconded. President Strand said that if the action proposed were taken, it should be interpreted as leaving the Curriculum Council free to make whatever recommendation its consideration might warrant. Dr. Ordeman suggested that "acceptance" would be better action than "approval." Dean Zeran moved that the motion be amended to substitute the word "acceptance" for "approval." The motion was seconded, put to vote, and was declared carried. The amended motion, that the Faculty Senate vote acceptance of the report, subject to consideration by the Curriculum Council, was put to vote and declared carried unanimously.

ADJOURN-MENT President Strand expressed appreciation for the attendance at a special meeting called on short notice. He declared the meeting adjourned at 5:20 o'clock.

Meeting 123

14 March 1957

ROLL CALL

The Faculty Senate met in regular session at 4:00 p.m. in Memorial Union 208 with President Strand in the chair. Members present were: Anderson, Baron, Beck, Becker, Calvin, Carlson, Cheldelin, Colby, Crooks, Dailey (for Bergstrom), Foote, Forbes (for Heston), Foster, Frazier (for Apple), Gilfillan, Gleeson, Goode, Hansen, Jackson, Kirk, Knapp, Landforce (for Ebert), Langan, Lemon, Link (for Li), McCulloch, McKimmy, Maser, Milligan, Moor, O'Leary, Oldfield, Orner, Read (for Scholl), Plambeck, Reichart, Roberts, Schaloch, Scheel, Schultz, Sciuchetti, Sinnard, Smith, Strand, Strickler, Weswig, Winger, Young, Youngberg, Zeran. Also present were Professors L. D. Coolidge and R. W. Maddox.

Absent were: Albert, Bernier, Boyd, Carlin, Crossen, Edaburn, Foreman, Gibson, Gray, Groshong, Keene, Kraft, Langton, McClellan, Mackey, Miller, Munford, Norton, Ordeman, Phinney, Poling, Price, Ritcher, Robertson, Rodgers, Slabaugh, Trigg, Wicks, Williamson.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Consideration of the minutes of the meetings of February 14 and February 18, 1957, was called for. The minutes of the two meetings were declared approved.

COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES

Director Carlson, chairman of the special Committee on Committees, presented the final report of his committee, dated February 25, 1957. Mimeographed copies of the report were distributed. Director Carlson said the committee had been greatly helped by Dr. J. K. Munford's doctoral study of faculty committees. He reviewed the committee's earlier report of May 10, 1956. He read portions of the final report with comments. He then moved that the report be adopted and the special committee disbanded. The motion was seconded.

Discussion was called for. One question was the functions of proposed new committees. Director Carlson said the committee felt that each committee could best define its functions. Dean Zeran asked whether time should not be allowed for Senate members to discuss the report with their constituencies before final action was taken. President Strand asked whether adequate attention had been given by the committee to the desire of students to participate in some committees. Dr. Schultz spoke of the relation of the Bylaws Committee to the report if adopted in formulating the provisions in legal statements. Dr. Schultz moved that the motion be amended to provide that the report be referred to the Committee on Bylaws for legal incorporation in the Bylaws. The motion was seconded, put to vote, and was declared carried. The motion as amended was, that the report of the special Committee on Committees be adopted and referred to the Committee on Bylaws for incorporation in the Bylaws, and that the special committee be disbanded. The motion was carried.

SITUATION IN RESPECT TO SALARIES Dr. Coolidge reported on the situation in respect to provisions by the Legislature and the State Board of Higher Education regarding faculty salaries. He said that a committee of the faculties of the state institutions of higher education had presented a report to the State Board of Higher Education, emphasizing the increasing difficulty of obtaining and holding competent staff members in the face of competition of other institutions and industry. He said a feeling existed among faculties that the budget proposals of the State Board in respect to salaries were already inadequate. The competitive situation changes rapidly, he said. He cited actions taken in neighboring states regarding faculty salaries. Dr. Cheldelin asked whether the Faculty Senate could helpfully take some action on the staff salary situation. Dr. Coolidge said he was not sure how a Senate action at the time could be used. Dr. Cheldelin spoke of restiveness among staff members on salary inadequacies and uncertainty on what would be done. President Strand emphasized that the faculty committee was stating facts but not making requests and that was the strength of its position. Dean Colby moved that the Faculty Senate extend a vote of thanks to Dr. Coolidge and his committee associates. The motion was seconded and was carried.

FACULTY FORUM RECOMMENDATION

Dr. Anderson, Vice Chairman of the Faculty Senate and Chairman of the Faculty Forum (ex officio) spoke of the March meeting of the Forum which was attended by about sixty. He referred to a recommendation from the Faculty Forum that had been referred to at the February 14, 1957, meeting of the Faculty Senate on questions related to admissions policy. He said the Forum recommended that the Faculty Senate should help to crystallize the current interest in aspects of admissions policy. Both Dr. Schults and Dr. Frazier, who in their past terms as Vice Chairman, had presided over the Faculty Forum spoke of the Forum as a body that can initiate and recommend matters to the Faculty Senate for its consideration. Question was raised whether the Senate could not refer the Forum recommendation to some existing committee. It was moved by Dr. Sciuchetti that the recommendation be referred to the Academic Requirements Committee, but there was no second. What committee might be appropriate was discussed. Dean Zeran moved that the Faculty Forum recommendation regarding admissions be referred to the Administrative Council. The motion was seconded, put to vote, and was carried.

Dr. Anderson reported further a Faculty Forum discussion on grades and grading. Variations and disparities in grading had been noted, also the imperfection of grades as a basis for honors, the varying weighting given examinations, and related matters. Dr. Anderson said the Forum discussion showed that, while flexibility in respect to grading practice exists, greater uniformity would be desirable.

FACULTY DAY

President Strand said that decision should be made regarding Faculty Day, especially regarding a theme. He invited suggestions and any action the Senate might wish to take. As no suggestions were offered, he announced that selection of a theme for Faculty Day would be left to the Executive Committee.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was declared adjourned at 5:20 o'clock.

Delmer M. Goode

Secretary

Minutes of

The Faculty Senate of Oregon State College

Meeting 124

11 April 1957

ROLL CALL

The regular April meeting of the Faculty Senate came to order at 4:00 p.m. in room 208 Memorial Union with President Strand in the chair and the following members present: Aikin (for Schaloch), Albert, Anderson, Baron, Becker, Bergstrom, Bernier, Blaney (for Apple), Calvin, Carlin, Carlson, Charley (for Mackey), Church (for Oldfield), Colby, Crooks, Foote, Foreman, Foster, Frazier (for Williamson), Gibson, Gilfillan, Goode, Groshong, Hansen, Heston, Jackson, King (for Cheldelin), Kirk, Kraft, Langan, Langton, Lear (for Scheel), Lemon, McCulloch, McKimmy, Martel (for Sinnard), Maser, Milligan, Moor, Munford, Nibler (for Ebert), O'Leary, Orner, Phinney, Plambeck, Reichart, Ritcher, Roberts, Rodgers, Scholl, Schultz, Sciuchetti, Slabaugh, Smith, Strand, Thomas (for Beck), Trigg, Vaughan (for Young), Weswig. Also present were: Professors E. F. Kurth, Ruth A. Moser, J. E. Parker, and Florence Petzel.

Absent were: Boyd, Crossen, Edaburn, Gleeson, Gray, Keene, Knapp, Li, McClellan, Miller, Norton, Ordeman, Poling, Price, Robertson, Strickler, Wicks, Winger, Youngberg, Zeran.

MINUTES

The minutes of the March 14 meeting were declared approved.

COMITTEE ON ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS President Strand said he would distribute before the close of the meeting a revision of the Committee on Committee's organization he said was to transfer the Committee on Academic Requirements from direct responsibility to the Faculty Senate as proposed to responsibility to the Administrative Council. He said the Committee had regularly reported to the Administrative Council. Its work, however, consisted of carrying out policy and regulations established by the faculty Senate, but he believed that a presentation of the activities of the committee would show that its responsibility was primarily administrative. He announced that the Executive Committee had arranged for Dr. Foreman, Chairman, to report on the work of the Committee on Academic Requirements. He then called on Dr. Foreman.

Dr. Foreman read the statement of the functions of the Committee, making comments on some of them and pointing out some probably needed revisions. He gave statistics of Committee handling of student petitions, described some time-saving routines, explained the Committee concept of its over-all functions and responsibilities. He emphasized that the Committee members must be primarily responsible, not to the schools or departments from which they come, but to the entire institution. Only in unusual situations does an appear before the Committee. The student relations are more commonly with his department head and his dean. The Committee has much concern to encourage and expect students to know and fulfill their responsibilities to Oregon State College. Dr. Foreman at the same time urged the importance of deans, advisers, and other faculty members calling students! attention to institutional

requirements that must be met. He cited some examples illustrating the work of the Committee: faculty failure to report nonattending students, changes of grades. Dr. Foreman concluded by saying that the Committee was trying to formulate a statement of its policy in respect to particular regulations. The question was asked whether a name like "Academic Regulations" might better describe the Committee's work.

REVISION OF ORGANIZATION CHART

President Strand distributed a mimeographed chart showing revisions he proposed in the organization chart that had been suggested by the Committee on Committees. He called attention to transfers, additions, and clarifications. He said the relation between the Council on Curriculum and Academic Policy and the Faculty Senate should have been indicated in his revised chart. Recommendations of the Council would continue to be subject to approval by the Faculty Senate and the President. He mentioned the desirability of occasions of interchange between various bodies within the institution. Director Carlson emphasized the relation of the Curriculum Council to the Faculty Senate. Dean Colby said the Committee on Liberal Arts Requirements concerned only two schools and made its reports to the Curriculum Council rather than to the Faculty Senate as shown in the revised chart. There was some discussion of the relation of the Committee on Honorary Degrees which President Strand believed should be responsible to the Faculty Senate. He said further discussion of the revised chart would be in order at the next meeting.

CURRENT HIGHER EDUCATION SITUATION President Strand spoke on a number of aspects of the current situation in higher education. He mentioned the number of staff positions—vacancies and new positions—to be filled before September and the probability that all of them could not be filled. The need, he said, is for some thinking on what we shall have to do when we no longer can do what we are doing today. He said that a pertinent question was, could the number of courses offered be reduced? Or must it? Conditions might force much re-evaluation and might force placing more of the responsibility upon the student. He said that students highly appreciated personal interest in them on the part of the faculty, even an appearance of such interest. He cited an example of the influence of three outstanding teachers on a man whose career was outstanding.

ADJOURNMENT

President Strand declared the meeting adjourned at 5:05 o'clock.

Minutes of

The Faculty Senate of Oregon State College

Meeting No. 125

May 9, 1957

ROLL CALL

The regular May meeting of the Faculty Senate came to order at 4:00 p.m in room 208 Memorial Union with President Strand in the chair and the following members present: Albert, Anderson, Apple, Becker, Bergstrom, Boyd, Calvin, Carlin, Carlson, Crooks, Ebert, Foote, Foreman, Foster, Gibson, Goode, Gray, Groshong, Gunn, Hansen, Jackson, Kirk, Kraft, Langton, Lemon, McKimmy, Mackey, Milligan, Moor, Monroe (for Scheel), Munford, Norton, O'Leary, Oldfield, Ordeman, Orner, Phinney, Plambeck, Poling, Roberts, Rodgers, Scholl, Schultz, Sisson (for Sciuchetti), Slabaugh, Smith, Stoops (for Williamson), Strand, Strickler, Trigg, Weswig, Wicks, Winger, Youngberg. Also present were: Professors Norborne Berkeley, Ralph Bogart, H. Livingston and C. K. Smith.

Absent were: Baron, Beck, Bernier, Cheldelin, Colby, Crossen, Edaburn, Gilfillan, Gleeson, Heston, Keene, Knapp, Langan, Li, McClellan, McCulloch, Maser, Miller, Price, Reichart, Ritcher, Robertson, Schalock, Young, Zeran.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The President said that no corrections or modifications had been proposed in the minutes of the April 11, 1957 meeting, and hence the minutes were declared approved.

REPLACEMENT
OF PROFESSOR
H. R. SINNARD

President Strand introduced Professor Paul J. Gunn as a replacement in the Faculty Senate for Professor H. R. Sinnard, on leave. He then called for nominations for a member of the Senate to replace Professor Sinnard as a member of the Executive Committee. Dr. Calvin nominated Dr. Foote. No other nominations were made. Dr. Oldfield moved that the nominations be closed and the Secretary instructed to cast the unanimous ballot of the Faculty Senate for Dr. Foote as a member of the Executive Committee. The motion was seconded, put to vote, and carried, and Dr. Foote was declared elected a member of the Executive Committee.

HONORS AND AWARDS President Strand had approved, on behalf of the Faculty Senate, the recommendations of the Committee on Honors and Awards for recipients of various honors for the academic year. These were: the Drucilla Shepard Smith Award, the Chi Omega Award, the Beatrice Hamilton Awards, the MacKenzie Blue Key Memorial Award, the Dubach Awards, the E. A. Cummings Awards, the Clara H. Waldo Awards, the Lipman Wolfe Awards. President Strand said the action of the Executive Committee was subject to confirmation by the Faculty Senate. Dr. Kraft moved that the action of the Executive Committee in approving the Honors and Awards be confirmed. The motion was seconded, put to vote, and was carried.

REVISION OF ORGANIZATION CHART

At the April 11, 1957 meeting of the Faculty Senate, President Strand presented a revision, from the standpoint of his office, of the organization chart contained in the report of the Committee on Committees which received approval of the Faculty Senate at the March 14, 1957 meeting. Discussion at the April meeting disclosed need for some further revision. President Strand now distributed a revised chart and expressed the hope that it would receive final favorable action by the Faculty Senate. He called for question or comment. Discussion mainly was related to the fact that the revised chart placed the Committee on Graduate Admissions under the Council on Graduate Work, while the Committee on Undergraduate Admissions was under the Administrative Council. It was emphasized that the Committee on Graduate Admissions was important in determining admissions needs at the graduate level. It appeared that the Committee had been operating effectively during the past year. It was recognized that the Committee needed to continue to work closely with the Registrar's Office as well as with the Council on Graduate Work. Dr. Calvin moved that the revised chart be passed on to the Committee on Bylaws. The motion was seconded, put to vote, and was carried,

ADVANCEMENT OF TEACHING Dr. C. L. Anderson, chairman, gave a brief report on the work of the Committee on the Advancement of Teaching. He said the Committee was at work on a revision of the form for appraisal of teaching. It had held several campus conferences during the year, and had just sponsored a dinner honoring teachers. He described the general plan by which student ballots on outstanding teachers had been obtained and the procedure of selection of outstanding teachers by an augmented committee including both faculty and students.

FACULTY FORUM

Dr. Anderson, as Vice Chairman of the Faculty Senate and ex officio Chairman of the Faculty Forum, reported on the May 2 meeting of the Forum. Discussion topics, he said, included time allotment in relation to course credit, evidences of professional vitality in the faculty, travel to professional meetings including national and regional, and plans for sharing expense of such attendance.

COMMITTEE ON HONORS AND AWARDS Dr. Ordeman, chairman, reported on the work of the Committee on Honors and Awards, including routine and some human interest aspects. He said the limitations and stipulations for the various awards were printed in the Catalog. He said a pleasant side of the Committee's duties was the acquaintance it gave with a large number of outstanding students, many more than could receive recognition through the available awards.

GENERAL FACULTY MEETING President Strand invited expression of Faculty Senate interest in a general faculty meeting dealing with television and teaching. After some discussion, Dr. Ordeman moved that the Faculty Senate express its approval of a general faculty meeting to discuss television, such meeting to be held at a time set by the Executive Office. The motion was seconded, put to vote, and carried.

Meeting 125 - 3

FACULTY DAY

President Strand announced that he had asked Dr. Anderson and Dr. Schultz to head a committee to plan and carry out a program for Faculty Day.

ADJOURNMENT

The Faculty Senate was adjourned at 5:00 o'clock.