

**Appendix D
Five-Year Annual Review
Committee on Committees**

Reviewed by Roger Rennekamp, Member, Faculty Senate Committee on Committees

1. Do the Standing Rules clearly reflect the function & composition of this committee?

Yes, the Standing Rules appear to appropriately describe the function and composition of the committee. One committee member resigned mid-year indicating a lack of time to serve on the committee.

2. Have the committee's actions/function, as reported in the annual reports and based on consultation with the current chair and committee, been consistent with their Standing Rules?

Yes, there are no inconsistencies.

3. Do the annual reports provide a memory of the issues this committee addressed, their activities and any outcomes?

Yes, there is a complete record of the committee's actions posted on the web.

4. What has been the role/benefit of the student members?

A student member was not assigned to this committee.

5. What connection is there to the University's strategic plan?

It is important that committees align with the strategic priorities of the University.

6. To what extent does the committee add value to the university and/or faculty governance?

The committee helps ensure that the work of the Faculty Senate is distributed in a manner that allows for timely and thoughtful decisions.

7. If the chair believes the committee does not add value, please explain and address the question as to whether the committee should continue to exist.

N/A

8. Does this committee's work enhance OSU's commitment to diversity? If so, how?

Reviews of Committees and Standing Rules always include considerations as to whether they advance diversity priorities