

**Appendix F
Five-Year Annual Review
Administrative Appointments Committee**

Interviewed Jun Xing, Chair, Administrative Appointments Committee
Reviewed by Roger Rennekamp, Member, Faculty Senate Committee on Committees

1. Do the Standing Rules clearly reflect the function & composition of this committee?

The provost asked the committee to review their standing rules. The committee discussed their current standing rules and proposed changes which are detailed in a separate section of the report. Changes to the Standing Rules were approved by the Faculty Senate on June 9, 2011

2. Have the committee's actions/function, as reported in the annual reports and based on consultation with the current chair and committee, been consistent with their Standing Rules?

Yes, there are no inconsistencies.

3. Do the annual reports provide a memory of the issues this committee addressed, their activities and any outcomes?

This committee has not met for several years prior to the current year. Last report of activity was 2008.

4. What has been the role/benefit of the student members?

A student member was not assigned to this committee.

5. What connection is there to the University's strategic plan?

Strategic realignment and budget reductions have given renewed emphasis to the committee, helping to ensure that the right people are placed into the right positions to advance the strategic plan.

6. To what extent does the committee add value to the university and/or faculty governance?

The committee plays a very significant role to ensure that the faculty voice is represented in selecting leadership from beginning to end of the search process.

7. If the chair believes the committee does not add value, please explain and address the question as to whether the committee should continue to exist.

N/A

8. Does this committee's work enhance OSU's commitment to diversity? If so, how?

There is significant diversity in the composition of the committee. Committee ensures that procedures for the selection of administrators gives adequate consideration to diverse candidates.