

Five-Year Review

Academic Advising Council

January 3, 2017

Interviewed Louie Bottaro, Chair, Academic Advising Council

Reviewed by Robert Schickler, Radiation Center

1. Do the Standing Rules clearly reflect the function and composition of this committee?

More or less. When looking at the roster of service units represented, there are some that need updating like Intercultural Student Services to Diversity and Cultural Engagement.

2. Have the committee's actions/functions, as reported in the annual reports and based on consultation with the current chair and committee, been consistent with their Standing Rules?

I believe they have been.

3. Do the annual reports provide a memory of the issues this committee addressed, their activities and any outcomes?

They do. Votes are logged in the minutes. The previous chairs would review and summarize in annual report.

4. What has been the role/benefit of the student members?

Student participation has been historically quite sparse. A few years ago, there was an active student representative who participated in conversations about the First Year Experience and the First Year Advising syllabus that was developed in concert.

5. What connection is there to the University's strategic plan?

Student Success. Our group is always working within that framework.

6. To what extent does the committee add value to the university and/or to faculty governance?

The committee adds value as Academic Advising and the undergraduate experience continue to intertwine.

7. If the chair believes the committee does not add value, please explain and address the question as to whether the committee should continue to exist?

N/A

8. Does this committee's work enhance OSU's commitment to diversity? If so, how?

This group often asks strong questions about OSU's commitment to diversity and how policies intended for all students can have dramatic effects on certain populations.