

Five-Year Review – Faculty Recognition and Awards Committee

Do the Standing Rules clearly reflect the function & composition of this committee?

Function- yes. / Composition- mostly. The composition discussed in the standing rules does reflect the faculty composition. However, the standing rules mention external members who are to participate in the selection of specific awards. FRAC has always reached out to the groups mentioned, but the groups do not always provide a member to participate in the deliberation. To my memory, FRAC has not always been successful finding a student to volunteer as well.

Have the committee's actions/function, as reported in the annual reports and based on consultation with the current chair and committee, been consistent with their Standing Rules?

Yes

Do the annual reports provide a memory of the issues this committee addressed, their activities and any outcomes?

Yes

What has been the role/benefit of the student members?

Student members have helped select award recipients. Valuable to have student input.

What connection is there to the University's strategic plan? How does the committee add value to the University?

I would suppose that awarding and recognizing outstanding faculty will help with achieving components of the strategic plan that relate to faculty/programs/reputation/etc

See above

If the chair believes the committee does not add value, please explain and address the question as to whether the committee should continue to exist.

Not answered.

Does this committee's work enhance OSU's commitment to diversity? If so, how?

Not answered.