

## Baccalaureate Core Committee

June 7, 2019

### Minutes

*Voting members present:* Kathy Becker-Bleese, Daniel Faltsek, McKenzie Huber (remote), Filix Maisch, Bob Paasch, Weihong Qiu, Rorie Spill Solberg, Kaplan Yalcin

*Anticipated absences:* Pat Ball, Nancy Barbour, Natalie Dollar, Patrice Dragon, David Roundy, Dana Sanchez, Inara Scott

*Ex-Officio members present:* Faculty Affairs – Heath Henry; Ecampus – Craig Rademacher; WIC Director – Vicki Tolar Burton

### Category Reviews

- PH 203
  - There are some typos on page six of the syllabus.
  - Are PH 201 or PH 211 pre-requisites?
    - There is a lab exception credit where people who passed these classes within the last 2 years with a D- or better.
    - Is this a rule from the instructor, the dean, the college?
  - Can't fulfill the Baccalaureate Core (BC) requirement without the lab. PH 201 and 211 are both also BC courses.
  - There is a risk that this is considerable loophole. What if PH 201 and PH 211 are removed? There is no way to track that in the system.
  - The Disability Access Link is incorrect.
  - There is no credit number listed.
  - It is missing a Class Schedule.
  - The syllabus says the pre-requisites are enforced, but it doesn't appear that way.
  - Students are primarily juniors and seniors and all are from the COS, so it is not currently servicing the purpose of the BC.
    - Decertify, unless they remove the prior lab exception.
- PH 106
  - Clarified a lot of questions the BC had during its previous submission.
  - Still missing a lot of minimum syllabus requirements.
    - Recertified with suggestions to meet the minimum syllabus requirements
- PH 206
  - The syllabus does not meet the minimum syllabus requirements and did not submit a Cascades campus syllabus
    - It was confirmed that Cascades will be using the same syllabus.
      - Recertified with suggestions to meet the minimum syllabus requirements.
- CH 232
  - It is not clear how the assessments align with BC outcomes.
    - Decertify with opportunity to resubmit.

### Category II Reviews

- MTH 338
  - Approved with no discussion needed
- GEO 430/530
  - Approved with no discussion needed
- ENG 109
  - Send back
- GEOG 323
  - The matrix is 'what is being assessed' and 'how it is being assessed' are copy pasted responses (the same).
    - Approve with notes
- GEO 306
  - No ecampus syllabus provided.
  - How are BC outcomes met? It's a global statement that appears to read as 'all assignments meet all the outcomes'.
    - The syllabus needs to be more specific.
      - Send back with suggestions for revisions.

- AG 445
  - Resubmitted without the WR II requirement but the BCC would like to know whether or not their approval is still required.
  - Waiting on an answer before approving.
- H 344
  - Needs a better explanation on how outcome two is met
    - Send back with request for more details.
- HIS 350
  - Much improved, table added and meets all requirements.
    - Approved with minor comments.